To arrive at the sandwich estimator, assume $oldsymbol{Y}_1,\ldots,oldsymbol{Y}_n\overset{iid}{\sim}F$ and define

$$m{G}_n(m{ heta}) = rac{1}{n}\sum_{i=1}^nm{\psi}(m{Y}_i;m{ heta}),$$
depends on n

In the likelihood case:

1 2
$$\Upsilon(\underline{Y}_i, \underline{\theta})$$

Score function or derive of log likelihood contribution.

Taylor expansion of $G_n(\theta)$ around θ_0 evaluated at $\hat{\theta}$ yields

$$\underbrace{O}_{\text{bxl}} = \underbrace{G_n(\widehat{\theta})}_{\text{bxl}} = \underbrace{G_n(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{G_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + R_n \\ \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta}_0} \right) + \underbrace{f_n'(\underline{\theta}_0)}_{\text{bxl}} \left(\underbrace{\widehat{\theta}}_{-\underline{\theta$$

Rearranging:

$$-\underline{G}_{n}^{\prime}(\underline{\theta}_{o})\left(\underline{\hat{\theta}}_{-}-\underline{\theta}_{o}\right)=\underline{G}_{n}(\underline{\theta}_{o})+R_{n}$$

$$\underline{\hat{\theta}}_{-}-\underline{\theta}_{o}=\underbrace{\left\{-\underline{G}_{n}^{\prime}(\underline{\theta}_{o})\right\}^{\prime}}_{-}G_{n}(\underline{\theta}_{o})+\underbrace{\left\{-\underline{G}_{n}^{\prime}(\underline{\theta}_{o})\right\}^{\prime}}_{-}R_{n}$$

$$\overline{\int_{n}\left(\hat{\theta}_{-}-\underline{\theta}_{o}\right)}=\underbrace{\left\{-\underline{G}_{n}^{\prime}(\underline{\theta}_{o})\right\}^{\prime}}_{-}\overline{\int_{n}G_{n}(\underline{\theta}_{o})}+\underbrace{\int_{n}R_{n}^{*}}_{-}R_{n}^{*}$$

Let's look at each piece.

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} & -\zeta_{n}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) = \frac{d}{d\mathfrak{g}} - \zeta_{n}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) = \frac{d}{d\mathfrak{g}} \left[-\frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(Y_{i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) \right] = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} -\Psi'(Y_{i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) \\ \text{Define } \mathbf{A}(\theta_{0}) = \mathbf{E}_{F}[-\Psi'(\mathbf{Y}_{1}, \theta_{0})]. \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \text{Then} & -\zeta_{n}'(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) \rightarrow^{\ell} \underline{A}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) \quad \text{by WLN.} \\ \text{In the likelihood active}_{i}, what is A? Curvature! because Ψ is the score function (derivative of log-likelihood)
 $\Rightarrow \Psi'_{1} \text{ for the 2^{nd} derivative of The log-likelihood.} \end{aligned}$

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{A} \quad \sqrt{n} \underbrace{\zeta_{n}}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) = \sqrt{n} \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(Y_{i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) = \frac{1}{\sqrt{n}} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(Y_{i}; \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) \longrightarrow N(\mathcal{O}, \mathcal{B}(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet})). \\ \text{because this is a sum of wreathy scaled with things.} \\ \text{Weat is } B(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet})? \text{ Should be the variance of } \Psi \\ B(\mathfrak{g}_{\bullet}) = \mathbb{E}_{F} \left[\Psi(Y_{i}, \mathfrak{g}_{\bullet})^{T} \right] \end{aligned}$$$$

So , putting
$$\mathcal{A}$$
's tagether,
 $J\pi (\hat{\Phi} - \theta_0) \xrightarrow{\text{slutsby's}} \{A(\theta_0)\}^T N(\underline{O}, \underline{B}(\theta_0))$
 $\rightarrow N(\underline{O}, A(\theta_0)^T B(\theta_0) \{A(\theta_0)^T\}^T)$
or, $\hat{\underline{O}} \sim N(\underline{\theta}_0, \frac{1}{n} A(\underline{\theta}_0)^T B(\underline{\theta}_0) \{A(\theta_0)^T\}^T)$
In practice, we don't know $\underline{\theta}_0 \implies \text{replace with } \hat{\underline{\Theta}}$:
 $\hat{\underline{A}} \sim N(\underline{\theta}_0, \frac{1}{n} A(\underline{\theta})^T B(\underline{\theta}) \{A(\theta_0)^T\}^T)$
 $\lim_{\substack{d \neq 0 \\ curveture}} \int_{\text{variance } urvetve}^{1}$
bread meat bread = sandwich!

2.1 Estimators for $\boldsymbol{A}, \boldsymbol{B}$

If the data truly come from the assumed parametric family $f(y; \theta)$,

Then
$$A(\underline{\theta}_{0}) = B(\underline{\theta}_{0}) = \underline{I}(\underline{\theta}_{0})$$

information matrix.
where $A(\underline{\theta}_{0})$ and $B(\underline{\theta}_{0})$ are the 2 definitions of $\underline{I}(\underline{\theta}_{0})$.
 \implies The sandwich estimator $A(\underline{\theta}_{0})^{T}B(\underline{\theta}_{0})[A(\underline{\theta}_{0})^{T}]^{T} = \underline{I}(\underline{\theta}_{0})^{T}$

One of the key contributions of M-estimation theory is to point out what happens when the assumed parametric family is not correct.

Then
$$A(\Phi_0) \neq B(\Phi_0)$$
 and we should use the correct limiting distribution covariance matrix.
 $\overline{A(\Phi_0)} B(\Phi) \{A(\Phi_0)^{-1}\}^T$.

We can use empirical estimators of \boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{B} :

$$A_{n}(X, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \{-\Psi'(Y_{i}; \hat{\theta})\}$$

average curvature embadded at $\hat{\theta}$
$$B_{n}(X, \hat{\theta}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(Y_{i}; \hat{\theta}) \Psi(Y_{i}; \hat{\theta})^{T}$$

Variance estimate.
might read the use numeric differentiation to approximate.

Remomber, the Hessian in code is
$$nA_n(\Upsilon,\hat{\theta})$$
.
 \Rightarrow need $\Xi nA_n(\hat{\theta}) \overline{\zeta}^T \xi n^2 B_n(\hat{\theta}) \overline{\zeta} nA_n(\hat{\theta}) \overline{\zeta}^T$

Example (Coefficient of Variation): Let Y_1, \ldots, Y_n be idd from some distribution with finite fourth moment. The coefficient of variation is defined at $\hat{\theta}_3 = s_n/\overline{Y}$.

How would we get a CI for the coefficient of variation $\theta_3 = \frac{\sigma}{\mu}$? I unimous don.

We'll try M-estimation.

Define a three dimensional $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ so that $\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}_3$ is defined by summing the third component. What is the vector valued function $\boldsymbol{\psi}$ which yields an M-estimator for the coefficient of variation?

$$\Psi\left(Y_{i}, \underline{\theta}\right) = \begin{pmatrix} Y_{i} - \theta_{1} \\ (Y_{i} - \theta_{1})^{2} - \theta_{2} \\ \theta_{1} \theta_{3} - \sqrt{\theta_{2}} \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Psi(Y_{i}; \theta) = \begin{pmatrix} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} - n\theta_{i} \\ \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - n\theta_{2} \\ n\theta_{1}\theta_{3} - n\sqrt{\theta_{2}} \end{pmatrix} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0$$

$$\Rightarrow \theta_{1} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} Y_{i} \quad \text{division by } n, \text{ not } n-1.$$

$$\theta_{2} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^{n} (Y_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} = S_{1}^{2}$$

$$\theta_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{\theta_{2}}}{\theta_{1}} \qquad \text{wate: not a function of data,}$$

What parameter vector is being estimated by the M-estimator?

$$E[\Psi_{i}(Y_{i}, \Phi)] = E[Y_{i} - \theta_{i}] = M - \theta_{i} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \theta_{i} = M$$

$$E[\Psi_{i}(Y_{i}, \Phi)] = E[(Y_{i} - \theta_{i})^{2} - \theta_{i}] \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \theta_{i} = \operatorname{Var} Y_{i}$$

$$E[\Psi_{3}(Y_{i}, \Phi)] = E[\theta_{i}\theta_{3} - \sqrt{\theta_{2}}] = \theta_{i}\theta_{3} - \sqrt{\theta_{2}} \stackrel{\text{set}}{=} 0 \implies \theta_{3} = \frac{\sqrt{\theta_{2}}}{\theta_{i}}$$

$$\Rightarrow \begin{pmatrix} M \\ \sigma^{2} \\ M \end{pmatrix} = K$$

What are the matrices \boldsymbol{A} and \boldsymbol{B} ?

Write out the asymptotic variance, $\boldsymbol{V}.$

$$V = A^{-1} B(A^{-1})^{T}$$

$$A^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 \\ -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{1}{M} \end{pmatrix}^{\text{using row operatives (not shown).}}$$

$$A^{-1} B = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{1}{M} \end{pmatrix}^{s} \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{2} & \mu_{3} & 0 \\ \mu_{3} & \mu_{T} \delta^{*} & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{2} & \mu_{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{s^{3}}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & 0 \\ -\frac{s^{3}}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$\Rightarrow A^{-1} B(A^{T})^{T} = \begin{pmatrix} \delta^{2} & \mu_{3} & 0 \\ \mu_{3} & \mu_{4} - \delta^{*} & 0 \\ -\frac{s^{3}}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & 0 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -s/M^{*} \\ 0 & 1 & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

$$= \begin{pmatrix} \sigma^{2} & \mu_{3} & 0 \\ -\frac{s^{2}}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & -\frac{s}{M^{*}} & \frac{1}{2t_{M}} & 0 \end{pmatrix}$$

Assume Y_i are iid from a normal distribution with mean 10 and standard deviation 1. Calculate $V_{3,3}$. Assume you have a same of size 25 and you get an estimated coefficient of variation of 0.11. Give the asymptotic 95% confidence interval.

$$V_{3,3} = \frac{1}{16} \qquad \text{from prev. page.}$$

$$If \quad Y_{1} \sim N(10,1), \quad M_{3} = 0, \quad M_{4} = 3 \quad (properties of N \ \text{Assn}).$$

$$\Rightarrow \quad V_{3,3} = .0051$$

$$n = 25 \Rightarrow \quad Var(\hat{\theta}_{7}) = \frac{.0051}{.25} = .000 \ 204$$

$$CI: \quad .11 \stackrel{+}{=} 1.96 \ \overline{2.04e^{-1}}$$

$$\vdots \\ (.082_{7}, .138).$$