

Empirical Likelihood (EL)

Art Owen (1988, 1990) introduced.

This is nonparametric methodology for creating likelihood-type inference without specifying a joint distributional form for the data.

⇒ we can't misspecify!

EL is going to use the fact that the empirical cdf is a nonparametric MLE to assess how plausible a value of a parameter is to perform inference.

↳ without making distributional assumptions!

1 Mean Case

Suppose $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ are iid with mean $\boldsymbol{\mu}$ and covariance-variance Σ . For simplicity, say we are interested in estimating $\boldsymbol{\mu}$.

Imagine assigning probabilities p_1, \dots, p_n to the data $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ where $0 \leq p_i \leq 1$ and $\sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1$.
 $p_i \mapsto \mathbf{Y}_i$
 (*)

Unlike parametric likelihood, where we assume a functional form for p_i 's, only constraints (*).

Define a multinomial likelihood $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ (likelihood for $\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n$ using p_1, \dots, p_n).

Recall from class (likelihood notes pg 10) if you maximize $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$ for p_1, \dots, p_n the maximizer $p_1 = p_2 = \dots = p_n = \frac{1}{n}$ \leftarrow 1 observation in each "class" and we have also seen the empirical cdf

$$F_n(\mathbf{y}) = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbb{I}(\mathbf{Y}_i \leq \mathbf{y}) \quad \mathbf{y} \in \mathbb{R}^g \text{ is the MLE (pg 23 likelihood notes).}$$

in other words, given the data the empirical cdf maximizes $\prod_{i=1}^n p_i$.

To perform *nonparametric* likelihood inference on $\underline{\mu}$, we can consider a **constrained multinomial likelihood**, known as the **Empirical Likelihood function of $\underline{\mu}$** :

$$L_n(\underline{\mu}|\mathbf{Y}) = \sup \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n p_i : p_i \mapsto \mathbf{Y}_i, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Y}_i p_i = \underline{\mu} \right\}.$$

function of $\underline{\mu}$
 EL function
 multinomial likelihood
 $p_i \geq 0$
 mean of a dsn (p_1, \dots, p_n) on $(\mathbf{Y}_1, \dots, \mathbf{Y}_n)$
 mean constraint on (p_1, \dots, p_n)

Given a parameter value $\underline{\mu}$ and \underline{Y} , $L_n(\underline{\mu}|\underline{Y})$ assesses how plausible the value of $\underline{\mu}$ is.

$L_n(\underline{\mu}|\underline{Y})$ is the largest multinomial likelihood possible for a probability assignment to the data having mean $\underline{\mu}$.

The largest possible value of $L_n(\underline{\mu}|\mathbf{Y})$ is

$$\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \underline{\mu} \text{ would be } \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Y}_i \cdot \frac{1}{n} \Rightarrow \underline{\mu} \text{ would be } \bar{\mathbf{Y}}.$$

\parallel
 $L_n(\bar{\mathbf{Y}}, \underline{Y})$.

So $\bar{\mathbf{Y}} = \frac{1}{n} \sum_{i=1}^n \mathbf{Y}_i$ is a nonparametric ML estimator of $\underline{\mu}$, i.e. the EL estimator $\hat{\underline{\mu}} = \bar{\mathbf{Y}}$ of $\underline{\mu}$.

2 Statistical Inference

We can form an EL ratio for μ

$$\begin{aligned}
 R_n(\mu) &= \frac{L_n(\mu|Y)}{L_n(\hat{\mu}|Y)} \\
 &= \frac{L_n(\underline{\mu}|\underline{y})}{\prod_{i=1}^n \frac{1}{n}} \quad \leftarrow \hat{\mu} = \bar{y} \Rightarrow p_i = \frac{1}{n} \\
 &= n^n L_n(\underline{\mu}|\underline{y}) \\
 &= \sup \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n n p_i : p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, \underbrace{\sum_{i=1}^n y_i p_i = \mu}_{\sum_{i=1}^n (y_i - \mu) p_i = 0} \right\}
 \end{aligned}$$

Theorem (Wilk's Theorem): If $Y_1, \dots, Y_n \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are iid with mean μ_0 and covariance-variance Σ where $\text{rank}(\Sigma) = q$, then *look familiar??*

$$-2 \log R_n(\mu_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty.$$

In other words, for $H_0: \underline{\mu} = \underline{\mu}_0 \in \mathbb{R}^q$, if H_0 is true then $-2 \log R_n(\underline{\mu}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_q^2$ as $n \rightarrow \infty$.

★ EL behaves exactly like parametric likelihood for log ratios! ★

So if $\chi_{1-\alpha, q}^2$ denotes the $1-\alpha$ quantile of χ_q^2 , then an approximate $100(1-\alpha)\%$ confidence region for $\underline{\mu}$:

$$CR = \left\{ \underline{\mu} \in \mathbb{R}^q : -2 \log R_n(\underline{\mu}) \leq \chi_{1-\alpha, q}^2 \right\}.$$

by inverting the EL test

$$P(\underline{\mu}_0 \in CR) = P\left(-2 \log R_n(\underline{\mu}_0) \leq \chi_{1-\alpha, q}^2\right) \xrightarrow{n \rightarrow \infty} P\left(\chi_q^2 \leq \chi_{1-\alpha, q}^2\right) = 1-\alpha //.$$

For proof of this theorem, see Owen (1988).

3 EL with Estimating Equations

(Qin and Lawless, 1994).

Recall:

For Y_1, \dots, Y_n iid and $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^b$ a parameter of interest

Estimating equations link a data point Y_i to parameters through $r \geq b$ functions.

$$\Psi(Y_i, \theta) \text{ which satisfy } E\Psi(Y_i, \theta) = 0_r.$$

For EL inference on $\theta \in \mathbb{R}^b$, we make an EL function

$$L_n(\theta) = \sup \left\{ \prod_{i=1}^n p_i : p_i \geq 0, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i = 1, \sum_{i=1}^n p_i \Psi(Y_i, \theta) = 0_r \right\}$$

↑
given value of θ

extends mean example to any estimating equation!
p_i's are placed on $\Psi(Y_i, \theta)$ to have expectation zero.

The EL function evaluates the plausibility of a given value of θ based on the data.

Then we can get a point estimate, EL ratio, and corresponding CIs, as well as “profile” EL:

point estimate : maximize $L_n(\theta)$ to obtain maximum EL estimator $\hat{\theta}$

$$\text{EL ratio} : R_n(\theta) = \frac{L_n(\theta)}{L_n(\hat{\theta})} \quad (\text{just like parametric likelihood})$$

$$\text{Credible region: } CR = \left\{ \theta \in \mathbb{R}^b : -2 \log R_n(\theta) \leq \chi_{1-\alpha, b}^2 \right\} \quad (\text{invert EL ratio}).$$

profile EL : suppose $\theta = (\theta_1, \theta_2)$, $\theta_1 \in \mathbb{R}^s$, $\theta_2 \in \mathbb{R}^{b-s}$. Given θ_1 define $\hat{\theta}_{2, \theta_1}$ where

$$L_n(\theta_1, \hat{\theta}_{2, \theta_1}) = \sup_{\theta_2} L_n(\theta_1, \theta_2)$$

$$\text{Then the profile EL ratio for } \theta_1 \text{ is } R_n(\theta_1) = \frac{L_n(\theta_1, \hat{\theta}_{2, \theta_1})}{L_n(\hat{\theta})}.$$

Main EL result

Theorem: Suppose $\mathbf{Y}_1, \mathbf{Y}_2, \dots \in \mathbb{R}^q$ are iid with $\mathbf{E}\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \mathbf{0}_r$ and $\text{Var}[\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)] = \mathbf{E}\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)^\top$ is positive definite, where $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ denotes the true parameter value.

Suppose also that $\partial\psi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}$ and $\partial^2\psi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top$ are continuous in a neighborhood of $\boldsymbol{\theta}_0$ and that, in this neighborhood, $\|\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta})\|^3$, $\|\partial\psi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\|$ and $\|\partial^2\psi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}^\top\|$ are bounded by an integrable function $\Psi(\mathbf{Y}_1)$.

Finally, suppose the $r \times b$ matrix $D_\psi \equiv \mathbf{E}\partial\psi(\mathbf{y}, \boldsymbol{\theta})/\partial\boldsymbol{\theta}$ has full column rank b .

Then, as $n \rightarrow \infty$,

- i. $\sqrt{n}(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}} - \boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} N(\mathbf{0}_b, V)$, where $V = (D_\psi^\top \text{Var}[\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}_0)] D_\psi)^{-1}$. *EL point estimates are asymptotically Normal.*
- ii. If $r > b$, the asymptotic variance V cannot increase if an estimating function is added. *or decrease if an estimating function is dropped.*
- iii. To test $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta} = \boldsymbol{\theta}_0$, we may use $-2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ and when H_0 is true,

$$R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) = \frac{L_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)}{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})} \quad -2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{b, \text{tra}}^2 \text{ \# parameters}$$

$$\Rightarrow \text{confidence regions: } CR = \{\boldsymbol{\theta} \in \mathbb{R}^b : -2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}) \leq \chi_{b, \text{tra}}^2\}.$$

- iv. If $r > b$, to test $H_0 : \mathbf{E}\psi(\mathbf{Y}_1, \boldsymbol{\theta}) = \mathbf{0}_r$ holds for some $\boldsymbol{\theta}$, we may use

more functions than parameters.

$$-2 \log \frac{L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})}{\prod_{i=1}^r (1/n)} = -2 \log(n^r L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}})).$$

and when H_0 is true this quantity converges in distribution to χ_{r-b}^2 .

excess estimating functions.

Asymptotically, $-2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_0)$ and $-2 \log(n^r L_n(\hat{\boldsymbol{\theta}}))$ are independent.

- v. To test the profile assumption $H_0 : \boldsymbol{\theta}_1 = \boldsymbol{\theta}_1^0 \in \mathbb{R}^{b_1}$, we can use the profile EL ratio

$$-2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1^0) \text{ and, when } H_0 \text{ is true, } -2 \log R_n(\boldsymbol{\theta}_1^0) \xrightarrow{d} \chi_{b_1}^2$$

parameters in EL function after profiling.