1.5.2 Basic Bootstrap CI (corrects for bias).

The $100(1 - \alpha)\%$ Basic Bootstrap CI for θ is

1.0.2 Basic Boustrap of 1 cm=1, we have
\n
$$
\begin{aligned}\n&\text{base of } \text{no residue}, \\
&\text{base of } \text{no residue}\n\end{aligned}
$$
\nThe 100(1 - \alpha)% Basic Bosttrap CI for θ is\n
$$
\begin{pmatrix}\n\hat{\theta} - \left[\hat{\theta}_{1-\theta/2} - \hat{\theta}\right] & \hat{\theta} - \left[\hat{\theta}_{\theta/2} - \hat{\theta}\right] \\
&\text{as in } \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \hat{\theta} - \left[\hat{\theta}_{\theta/2} - \hat{\theta}\right] & \text{otherwise.} \\
&\text{as in } \mathbb{Z} \text{ and } \hat{\theta} - \left[\hat{\theta}_{\theta/2} - \hat{\theta}\right] & \text{otherwise.} \\
&\text{where } \begin{cases}\n\text{subject to } \text{the above,}\\
\text{the use of the above,}\\
\text{the use of
$$

.
• corrects for bias, but slightly hader to explain than perentile.

· Not transformation invariant.

1.5.3 Bootstrap t CI (Studentized Bootstrap)

Even if the distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is Normal and $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased for $\theta,$ the Normal distribution is not exactly correct for z. Consider $Z = \frac{\hat{\phi} - E(\hat{\phi})}{\sum \hat{\phi} - E(\hat{\phi})}$

6.3 Rootstrap *t* **CI** (**Studentized Rootstrap**) *Cows*
$$
\overline{z} = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}{\sum \hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}
$$

\nOn if the distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is Normal and $\hat{\theta}$ is unbiased for θ , the Normal distribution of $\hat{\theta}$ is $\overline{E} = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})} \sim \overline{E}_{n-1}$?

\n*No* $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \frac{\overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})} \sim \overline{E}_{n-1}$?

\nIt is also a $\hat{\theta} = \hat{\theta} - \frac{\overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})}{\sum_{i=1}^{n} \hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\theta})} = \frac{\hat{\theta} - \overline{E}(\hat{\$

Additionally, the distribution of $\hat{se}(\hat{\theta})$ is unknown.

So we cannot just claim t^{ϵ} ~t_{ay}.

 \Rightarrow The bootstrap t interval does not use a Student t distribution as the reference distribuion, instead we estimate the distribution of a "t type" statistic by resampling.

distribution, instead we estimate the distribution of a "t type" statistic by resampling.
\nThe 100(1 -
$$
\alpha
$$
)% Boostrap t CI is $\mu e^{i\alpha} \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{\sin^2 \alpha} e^{i\alpha} \frac{e^{i\alpha}}{\cos \alpha} e^{i\alpha}$
\n $(\hat{\theta} - \hat{\epsilon}_{\parallel \text{eq}}^x, \hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})) \hat{\theta} + \hat{\epsilon}_{\text{eq}}^x \hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})$).
\n Civart form
\n $\text{Civart form$

Assumptions/usage

=> computationally intensive. - Not doing anything for bias/skewness. $-$ Need $\hat{\theta}$ independent of $\hat{\text{se}}(\hat{\theta})$.

This idea is based on "pivot quantities" = a function of observations and parameters whose probability don doesn't depend on the parameter.

Eg,
$$
\frac{\overline{x} - \mu}{s/\sqrt{n}} \sim t_{n-1}
$$

 $\tau_{no \mu \text{ index}}$

This can help as to obtain ^a bootstrap CI for M.

You could create other pirot quantities to extend ria bootstrap, e. g.

$$
c\tan \theta
$$
 other pivot quantity as looking, e.g.
\n
$$
\int_{0}^{1} \frac{i^{d}}{d}N(\mu, \delta^{2}) = \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\delta^{2}} \approx \int_{n-1}^{2} \frac{1}{n-1}
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \left(\frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\chi^{2}_{1-d/2}} - 1\right) = \frac{(n-1)S^{2}}{\chi^{2}_{d/2}} \text{ would be a } 15\% \text{ CL for } \delta^{2}.
$$

Bootstrap ression does not assume Y. W/(p, 62). Now need to estimate γ^2 -type quantic using the bootstrap.

1.5.4 BCa CIs correct for skew $\overline{\mathscr{C}}$ "bids-corrected accelerated "

Modified version of percentile intervals that adjusts for bias of estimator and skewness of the sampling distribution.

This method automatically selects a transformation so that the normality assumption holds.

Idea:

Assume Here exists a monophnèdly increasing function
$$
g
$$
 and constants a and b s.f.

\n
$$
U = \frac{g(\hat{\theta}) - g(\theta)}{1 + ag(\theta)} + b \sim N(0,1) \quad \text{where } 1 + ag(\theta) \approx 0.
$$
\nBy the bootstrap principle

\n
$$
Ux = \frac{g(\hat{\theta}^*) - g(\hat{\theta})}{1 + ag(\hat{\theta})} + b \sim N(0,1).
$$
\n
$$
Ux = \frac{g(\hat{\theta}^*) - g(\hat{\theta})}{1 + ag(\hat{\theta})} + b \sim N(0,1).
$$
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \text{For any geometric of a standard Normal dss.}
$$

$$
U = \frac{\partial^{(0)} \theta^{(0)}}{(1 + a g/\theta)} + b \sim N(0, i)
$$

By the bootstrap principle

$$
U^* = \frac{g(\hat{\theta}^*) - g(\hat{\theta})}{(1 + a g/\hat{\theta})} + b \stackrel{ag(0^*)}{=} N(0, 1).
$$

$$
U^k = \frac{1}{1 + \alpha q(\hat{\theta})}
$$
\n
$$
= p^k \left[u^k \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right]
$$
\n
$$
= p^k \left[\frac{q(\hat{\theta}^*) - q(\hat{\theta})}{1 + \alpha q(\hat{\theta})} + b \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right]
$$
\n
$$
= p^k \left[\frac{q(\hat{\theta}^*) - q(\hat{\theta})}{1 + \alpha q(\hat{\theta})} + b \leq \frac{1}{2} \alpha \right]
$$
\n
$$
= \beta^k \left[\frac{\hat{\theta}^*}{\hat{\theta}^*} \leq \frac{1}{q^2} \left(q(\hat{\theta}) + (\frac{1}{2} \alpha - \theta) \left(1 + \alpha q(\hat{\theta}) \right) \right) \right]
$$
\n
$$
\hat{\theta}_{\alpha}
$$

The a quartile from the bootstrap ds:
$$
\theta
$$
 is $\hat{\theta}_{\alpha}$ is observable from BS ds.
\n
$$
\Rightarrow \vec{q}^{T}(q(\hat{\theta}) + (z_{\alpha}-\vec{b})(1+aq(\hat{\theta}))) \approx \hat{\theta}_{\alpha}
$$
\nTo use Huis, consider U: $1-x = P(u = z_{\alpha})$
\n
$$
= \rho(\theta < \vec{q}^{T}(q(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{b-z_{\alpha}}{1-a(b-z_{\alpha})})
$$
\n
$$
= \rho(\theta < \vec{q}^{T}(q(\hat{\theta}) + \frac{b-z_{\alpha}}{1-a(b-z_{\alpha})})
$$

Notice similarity to above .

Notice sin iterity to done.
=> If we could find B such that $\frac{b-2a}{1-a(b-2a)}$ =
conclude $0 < \hat{\theta}_p$ will be an appropriate $1-\alpha$ upper $Z_p - b$ then the bootstrap principle can be applied to n^{eikk} is conclude $0 < \hat{\theta}_p$ will be an appropriate $1-\alpha$ upper $\epsilon \in \mathbb{R}$ init.
 n^{eikk} = ρ ($\theta < q^T$ ($q(\hat{\theta})$ +
 \Rightarrow \uparrow we could find \uparrow such that
 \Rightarrow \downarrow a (\downarrow - $\frac{b-2a}{1-a(b-2a)} = \frac{2}{p} - b$

conclude $\theta < \hat{\theta}$, will be on appropriate $1-\alpha$ upper CI limit.
 \Rightarrow $\frac{3}{p} = \frac{b-2a}{1-a(b-2a)} + b$ => O find a, $\frac{b + \mathcal{Z}_{1-\alpha}}{b + \mathcal{Z}_{1-\alpha}} + b$.
. 1-a(b+z_{in} + b).
b , D compute B , D Find Bth quartile of empirical dan of $\hat{\theta}^*$ Be quanticly Nolpress of Nolpress and the set of the set of the same of the same fractions of $\hat{\theta}^{*}$ s is $g(\hat{\theta}^{*})$ = $g(\hat{\theta})$. $\frac{p_o}{p_o}$ denote p_o fraction n_o^p obs. from bootstrop dsn S.f. $\hat{\Theta}^x < \hat{\theta}$. Si'ne g i's monotone, this is the same fractions of θ S
 \Rightarrow P(Z < b) = p_o where ZNN(0,1) gires as a vay to estimate b (If the Lou

=> ^b corrects for bins. $\Rightarrow P(\ge < b) = p_0$ where $Z^{\omega}N(\nu_1)$ firs us a vay to estimate b (for the bootstrap dsu has $\hat{\theta}$ as its nedian, then $b = 0$).
 \Rightarrow $P(\ge < b) = p_0$ where $Z^{\omega}N(\nu_1)$ firs us a vay to estimate b $\left(f$ for ρ dsubstand $\$

```
If a=0, don't adjust for skewness \Rightarrow BC interal.
```
The BCa method uses bootstrapping to estimate the bias and skewness then modifies which percentiles are chosen to get the appropriate confidence limits for a given data set.

In summary,

BCa is like the percentile bootstrap $c\mathcal{I}$, but instead of $\left(\begin{array}{cc} \hat{\theta}_{\alpha_1\alpha_2} & \hat{\theta}_{1\alpha_2\alpha_3} \end{array}\right)$, closes better quartiles to accourt for bias and skarness.

Has better coroage then perentile method in empirical studies, but hader to explain.

Your Turn

We will consider a telephone repair example from Hesterberg (2014). Verizon has repair times, with two groups, CLEC and ILEC, customers of the "Competitive" and "Incumbent" local exchange carrier.

```
## Time Group
library(resample) # package containing the data
data(Verizon)
head(Verizon)
```
1 17.50 ILEC ## 2 2.40 ILEC ## 3 0.00 ILEC ## 4 0.65 ILEC ## 5 22.23 ILEC ## 6 1.20 ILEC

```
Verizon |>
  group_by(Group) |>
  summarize(mean = mean(Time), sd = sd(Time), min = min(Time), max =
        max(Time) ) |>
  kable()
```


```
ggplot(Verizon) +
 geom_histogram(aes(Time)) +
 facet wrap(.~Group, scales = "free")
```


1.6 Bootstrapping CIs

with your own.

There are many bootstrapping packages in R, we will use the boot package. The function boot generates R resamples of the data and computes the desired statistic(s) for each sample. This function requires 3 arguments:

- 1. data $=$ the data from the original sample (data.frame or matrix).
- 2. statistic $=$ a function to compute the statistic from the data where the first argument is the data and the second argument is the indices of the obervations in the boostrap sample.
- 3. $R =$ the number of bootstrap replicates.

```
library(boot) # package containing the bootstrap function
mean func <- function(x, idx) {
  mean(x[idx])
}
ilec times <- Verizon[Verizon$Group == "ILEC",]$Time
boot.ilec <- boot(ilec_times, mean_func, 2000)
plot(boot.ilec)
```


If we want to get Bootstrap CIs, we can use the boot.ci function to generate the different nonparametric bootstrap confidence intervals. If we want to get Bootstrap CIs, we can use the **boot.ci** function to generate the different nonparametric bootstrap confidence intervals.

boot.ci(boot.ilec, conf = .95, type = c("perc", "basic", "bca"))

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 2000 bootstrap replicates
##
# CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = boot.ilec, conf = 0.95, type = c("perc",
"basic",
## "bca"))
##
## Intervals :
## Level Basic Percentile BCa
## 95% ( 7.733, 9.110 ) ( 7.714, 9.091 ) ( 7.755, 9.125 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```

```
## we can do some of these on our own
## percentile
quantile(boot.ilec$t, c(.025, .975))
```
2.5% 97.5% ## 7.714075 9.084725

```
## basic
2*mean(ilec_times) - quantile(boot.ilec; c(.975, .025))
```
 $\# \#$ 97.5% 2.5% ## 7.738496 9.109147

To get the studentized bootstrap CI, we need our statistic function to also return the variance of $\hat{\theta}$.

```
mean var func <- function(x, idx) {
  c(mean(x[idx]), var(x[idx])/length(idx))}
boot.ilec 2 < - boot(ilec times, mean var func, 2000)
boot.ci(boot.ilec_2, conf = .95, type = "stud")
```

```
## BOOTSTRAP CONFIDENCE INTERVAL CALCULATIONS
## Based on 2000 bootstrap replicates
##
# CALL :
## boot.ci(boot.out = boot.ilec_2, conf = 0.95, type = "stud")
##
## Intervals :
## Level Studentized
## 95% ( 7.728, 9.183 )
## Calculations and Intervals on Original Scale
```
Which CI should we use?